



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,336	08/27/2003	Ching-Huei Wu	WUCH3033/EM	5344
23364	7590	04/02/2007	EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			WON, BUMSUK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2879	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/648,336	WU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bumsuk Won	2879	

— The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 February 2007.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/28/2007 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on 2/28/2007 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 11-16 of remarks, filed on 2/28/2007, with respect to claims 1-25 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of claims 1-25 has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments, see pages 8-11 of remarks, filed on 2/28/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that previously amended claim limitation of "the plurality of second electrodes alternate between connecting to a first conducting line and second conducting line" is not a new matter and disclosed in the specification including figures 1 and 6. Also, the Applicant amends the claim in order to precisely define the scope of the invention. Now, the previously amended claim limitation is further amended from "the plurality of second electrodes alternate between connecting to a first conducting line and a second conducting line" to "the plurality of second electrodes alternate between connecting to a first conducting line of the plurality of first conducting lines and a second conducting line of the plurality of second conducting lines and wherein the plurality of second electrodes each connect to a different first conducting line or second conducting line."

Art Unit: 2879

The Examiner respectfully disagrees that the amended claim limitation is disclosed in the specification including figures 1 and 6. The Examiner has not found anything that disclose the amended claim limitation in the specification. Figure 1 does not show second electrode. Figure 6 shows second electrode, however, it only shows the second electrodes being connected to second conducting lines. Figure 6 does not show the second electrodes being connected to first conducting lines. Since figure 6 does not show the second electrodes being connected to first conducting lines, it does not show the second electrodes being alternately connecting a first conducting line of the first conducting lines and a second conducting line of the second conducting lines.

In pages 9-10, the Applicant argues that figure 1 not showing the second electrodes can not be interpreted as the first and the second conducting lines not connecting the second electrodes. The Examiner respectfully agrees. Regarding the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, the Examiner understands that the second electrode is connected to the first and the second conducting lines, and also agrees that the connection between the electrodes and the conducting lines is disclosed in the specification. However, the Examiner do not agree that the second electrodes alternate between connecting to a first conducting line and a second conducting line.

In Pages 10-11, the Applicant argues that figure 6 discloses the alternating connection pattern. The Examiner respectfully disagree. Figure 6 does not show the electrode alternately connecting the second conducting line.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 2879

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Regarding the independent claims 1 and 10, the claim limitation of "the plurality of second electrodes alternate between connecting to a first conducting line of the plurality of first conducting lines and a second conducting line of the plurality of second conducting lines" was never disclosed in the specification. For more detail, please refer to the response to the arguments. Claims 2-9 and 11-25 are rejected due to claim dependency.

Contact information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bumsuk Won whose telephone number is 571-272-2713. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2879

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Bumsuk Won
Patent Examiner



JOSEPH WILLIAMS
PRIMARY EXAMINER